#### JEFFERSON COUNTY ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT

Don Carroll, Chair; Dale Weis, Vice-Chair; Janet Sayre Hoeft, Secretary; Paul Hynek, First Alternate; Randy Mitchell, Second Alternate

*PUBLIC HEARING* BEGINS AT **1:00 P.M.** ON THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 14,, 2013, ROOM 205, JEFFERSON COUNTY COURTHOUSE

<u>CALL TO ORDER FOR BOARD MEMBERS</u> IS AT 10:45 A.M. IN COURTHOUSE ROOM 203, PRIOR TO THE HEARING

<u>SITE INSPECTION FOR BOARD MEMBERS</u> LEAVES AT 11:00 A.M. FROM COURTHOUSE ROOM 203, PRIOR TO THE HEARING

#### 1. Call to Order-Room 203 at 10:45 a.m.

Meeting called to order @ 10:45 by Carroll

#### 2. Roll Call

Members present: Janet Sayre Hoeft, Donald Carroll

Members absent: Dale Weis

Staff: Laurie Miller, Michelle Staff

# 3. Certification of Compliance with Open Meetings Law Requirements

Hoeft acknowledged publication. Staff also presented proof of publication.

#### 4. Review of Agenda

Hoeft made motion, seconded by Carroll, motion carried 2-0 to approve the review of the agenda.

# 5. Approval of December 13, 2012 Meeting Minutes

Hoeft made motion, seconded by Carroll, motion carried 2-0 to approve the December 13, 2012 meeting minutes.

#### 6. Communications

Hoeft gave staff a note indicating that she will not be at the August 2013 public hearing.

## 7. Site Inspections – Beginning at 11:00 a.m. and Leaving from Room 203

#### 8. Public Hearing – Beginning at 1:00 p.m. in Room 205

Meeting called to order @ 1:00 p.m. by Carroll

Members present: Carroll, Hoeft

Members absent: Weis

Staff: Laurie Miller, Michelle Staff

#### 9. Explanation of Process by Board of Adjustment Chair

The following was read into the record by Hoeft:

# NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING JEFFERSON COUNTY ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Jefferson County Zoning Board of Adjustment will conduct a public hearing at 1:00 p.m. on Thursday, February 14, 2013 in Room 205 of the Jefferson County Courthouse, Jefferson, Wisconsin. The matter to be heard is an application for variance from terms of the Jefferson County Zoning Ordinance. No variance may be granted which would have the effect of allowing in any district a use not permitted in that district. No variance may be granted which would have the effect of allowing a use of land or property which would violate state laws or administrative rules. Subject to the above limitations, a variance may be granted where strict enforcement of the terms of the ordinance results in an unnecessary hardship and where a variance in the standards will allow the spirit of the ordinance to be observed, substantial justice to be accomplished and the public interest not violated. Based upon the findings of fact, the Board of Adjustment must conclude that: 1) Unnecessary hardship is present in that a literal enforcement of the terms of the ordinance would unreasonably prevent the owner from using the property for a permitted purpose or would render conformity with such restrictions unnecessarily burdensome; 2) The hardship is due to unique physical limitations of the property rather than circumstances of the applicant; 3) The variance will not be contrary to the public interest as expressed by the purpose and intent of the zoning ordinance. PETITIONER, OR THEIR REPRESENTATIVE, SHALL BE **PRESENT.** There may be a site inspection prior to public hearing which any interested parties may attend; a decision shall be rendered after public hearing on the following:

<u>V1401-13 – Ernest & Karen Bankert:</u> Variance to sanction a new A-3 lot on a proposed Town road requiring dedication, with structures at less than 20 feet from a side lot line as required by Sec. 11.04(f)8 of the Jefferson County Zoning Ordinance. The site is on PIN 006-0716-0914-000 (40 Acres) in the Town of Concord at N1356 Homeview Road.

Ernest Bankert presented his petition. Karen Bankert also presented information to the Board.

There were no questions or comments in favor or opposition of the petition. There was a town response in the file, approving the petition, which was read into the record by Hoeft. Lloyd Zastrow from the Town of Concord was also present and explained to the board the road access to the proposed lot, and the deeding of lands to the town.

Staff report was given by staff. Staff questioned Zastrow on the the road dedication – to be included on CSM. There was a discussion on road dedication and the access requirements on the final CSM.

Carroll questioned Zastrow on the documentation verification of the dedication of land to the town. Carroll questioned if the petitioner would be adverse to the requirement should the garage or shed be replaced, that they need to meet the setbacks. Hoeft questioned if this would have anything to do with the ag operation. Hoeft touched upon ag production and noted this lot was all buildings. Staff explained the additional steps needing to be taken to create the lot.

## 10. Decisions on Above Petitions (See following pages & files)

#### 11. Adjourn

Motion was made by Hoeft seconded by Carroll, motion carried 2-0 to adjourn @ 1:28 p.m.

If you have questions regarding these matters, please contact the Zoning Department at 920-674-7113 or 920-674-8638.

The Board may discuss and/or take action on any item specifically listed on the agenda.

# JEFFFERSON COUNTY ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT

Individuals requiring special accommodations for attendance at the meeting should contact the County Administrator at 920-674-7101 at least 24 hours prior to the meeting so appropriate arrangements can be made.

A digital recording of the meeting will be available in the Zoning Department upon request.

DECISION OF THE ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT JEFFERSON COUNTY, WISCONSIN

**FINDINGS OF FACT** 

PETITION NO.:	2013 V1401						
HEARING DATE:	02-14-2013						
A DDI TO A NAT							
APPLICANT:	Ernest A. & Karen Bankert						
PROPERTY OWNER:	SAME						
PARCEL (PIN #):	006-0716-0914-000						
TOWNSHIP:	Concord						
INTENT OF PETITION							
	d an existing detached accessory residential structure and an 0 feet from a proposed side lot line						
existing siled closer than 2	b teet nom a proposed side lot mic						
THE APPLICANT REQU	UESTS A VARIANCE FROM SECTION 11.04(f) 8						
OF THE JEFFERSON CO	OUNTY ZONING ORDINANCE.						
	E PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION AND PROPERTY WHICH						
	TOR DENIAL OF THE VARIANCE APPLICATION ARE:						
-	oposing to split off an original homestead from the farm.						
• •	mes on the farm, the original homestead and one built in 1974.						
	ing to create a new, one acre lot around the old homestead						
	ge, where the proposed lot line would be placed between two						
	sidence would meet all setbacks required, but the detached						
	g proposed at a setback of 8.2 feet from the lot line, whereas the						
•	In addition, on the remaining lands, there is an existing shed						
	k is 7.9 feet, whereas the required setback is 20 feet. The two						
structures are a detached g	garage which was built in 2000 and a shed which was built in 1976.						
Other actions pending	g approval and required for this land division are a rezoning						
	the Jefferson County Board and Town Board dedication of the						
proposed public road.							
<del>1</del> 1 1							
FACTS OR OBSERVATION	ONS BASED ON SITE INSPECTIONS: Site inspections						
conducted. Observ	ed property layout & location.						
FACTS PRESENTED AT	PUBLIC HEARING: See tape, minutes & file.						
DECISION STANDARDS							
A. NO VARIANCE MA	Y BE GRANTED WHICH WOULD HAVE THE EFFECT OF						
	Y DISTRICT A USE NOT PERMITTED IN THAT DISTRICT						

В.	ALLOWING	NCE MAY BE ( G A USE OF LA ADMINSTRATI	ND OR PROPE	ERTY WHIC	H WOULD VIO	
C.	WHERE ST RESULTS I STANDARI	TIAL JUSTICE T	CEMENT OF T ESSARY HARD W THE SPIRIT	THE TERMS SHIP & WH OF THE O	OF THE ORDI ERE A VARIAN RDINANCE TO	NANCE
	BASED ON	THE FINDIN	GS OF FACT, T	HE BOARD	CONCLUDES	ТНАТ:
1.	OF THE TO PREVENT PURPOSE UNNECES lot.	ERMS OF THE THE OWNER OR WOULD RE SARILY BURD It provides gara struction, and wi	ZONING ORD FROM USING ENDER CONFO ENSOME BEC ge space for the	DINANCE WOTHE PROPE DRMITY WI' AUSE <u>the</u> residence. Ti	OULD UNREAS ERTY FOR A PE I'H SUCH REST re is nowhere els ne structures in o	ERMITTED TRICTIONS e to split off the question are minor
		accu.				
2.	PROPERTY BECAUSE too	OSHIP IS DUE Y RATHER TH  everything exclose to the build ordance with the	AN THE CIRC kists. There is n lings. The com	UMSTANCE o other way to clexity of the	S OF THE APP o split off the lot	LICANT other than to be
3.	EXPRESSE BECAUSE_	ANCE WILL No D BY THE PUI it's in the mi places another I	RPOSE AND IN ddle of nowhere	NTENT OF T  It has acces	HE ZONING ( siblity for emerg	
*A VA	RIANCE MA	Y BE GRANTE	D IF ALL THE	SE CONDIT	'IONS ARE ME	<u>T*</u>
DECIS	SION: THE	REQUESTED '	VARIANCE IS	GRANTED.		
MOTI	ON: Hoe	ft	SECOND:	Carroll	<b>VOTE</b> : 2-0	
COND	DITIONS OF	APPROVAL: (	Conditioned upor	n township &	Planning & Zor	ning approvals.
SIGNI	ED:	СНА	IRPERSON		DATE:	02-14-2013

BOARD DECISIONS MAY BE APPEALED TO CIRCUIT COURT. AUDIO RECORD OF THESE PROCEEDINGS IS AVAILABLE UPON REQUEST.